## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

DENISE	THURN	MOND
--------	-------	------

211 Owen St. Hamilton, OH 45011

Plaintiff,

v.

CASE NO: 1:19-CV-00955

JUDGE MICHAEL R. BARRETT

### FORD MOTOR COMPANY,

3000 E Sharon Rd. Cincinnati, OH 45241

Defendant.		

# ANSWER OF DEFENDANT FORD MOTOR COMPANY TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

Defendant Ford Motor Company ("Defendant") states the following as its Answer and Defenses to Plaintiff's Complaint.

#### FIRST DEFENSE

1. Plaintiff's Complaint does not contain numbered Paragraphs. Defendant denies all substantive allegations in Plaintiff's Complaint, if any, including all violations of law identified in Plaintiff's Complaint and the exhibits to Plaintiff's Complaint. To the extent that the remainder of Plaintiff's Complaint and/or the exhibits contain any additional allegations, Defendant denies all such allegations.

#### **SECOND DEFENSE**

2. All allegations in Plaintiff's Complaint not expressly admitted in this Answer are denied.

#### THIRD DEFENSE

3. Plaintiff's Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

#### FOURTH DEFENSE

4. Plaintiff's claims are barred by the equitable doctrines of waiver, judicial estoppel, equitable estoppel, unclean hands, set-off, accord and satisfaction, and/or laches.

#### FIFTH DEFENSE

5. Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of res judicata and/or collateral estoppel.

#### SIXTH DEFENSE

6. This Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction.

#### SEVENTH DEFENSE

7. Plaintiff has failed to mitigate damages, if any.

#### **EIGHTH DEFENSE**

8. Plaintiff's claims, in whole or in part, are barred by the applicable statute of limitations.

#### **NINTH DEFENSE**

9. Plaintiff was treated the same as other similarly situated employees outside the protected class.

## TENTH DEFENSE

10. Defendant exercised reasonable care to prevent and correct any alleged harassing, discriminatory, and/or retaliatory conduct, if any.

#### **ELEVENTH DEFENSE**

11. Plaintiff failed to exhaust administrative and/or informal remedies.

### TWELFTH DEFENSE

12. Plaintiff's claims should be dismissed to the extent that they were not set forth in her administrative charges.

#### THIRTEENTH DEFENSE

13. Any monetary recovery is unavailable for the claims contained in Plaintiff's Complaint.

## FOURTEENTH DEFENSE

14. Plaintiff's claims arise from and depend upon the applicable collective bargaining agreement.

#### FIFTEENTH DEFENSE

15. Plaintiff's claims are barred to the extent that they were settled, resolved, waived, dismissed, and/or to the extent Plaintiff failed to appeal a final administrative order.

#### SIXTEENTH DEFENSE

16. Plaintiff is not a qualified individual with a disability as defined by federal or state law.

## SEVENTEENTH DEFENSE

17. Any and all actions taken with respect to Plaintiff's employment were made for legitimate, nondiscriminatory, and non-retaliatory reasons. At all times Defendant acted in good faith compliance with all applicable laws and did not engage in any willful misconduct.

EIGHTEENTH DEFENSE

18. Defendant would have taken the same action regardless of Plaintiff's race, gender,

disability, or other protected status.

NINETEENTH DEFENSE

19. Defendant reserves the right to add or assert additional defenses identified upon further

discovery in this matter.

WHEREFORE, Defendant prays for relief in the form of a judgment against Plaintiff, an

award of all costs and attorney fees incurred in connection with this action, an award of damages,

and any other relief deemed reasonable, just, or appropriate.

Respectfully submitted this 26<sup>th</sup> day of December 2019.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/<u>Ronald G. Linville</u>

Ronald G. Linville (0025803)

Email: rlinville@bakerlaw.com **BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP** 

200 Civic Center Drive

Suite 1200

Columbus, OH 43215-4138

Telephone: 614.228.1541

Facsimile: 614.462.2616

Attorney for Defendant Ford Motor Company

4

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on December 26, 2019, a true and correct copy of the foregoing Answer of Defendant Ford Motor Company to Plaintiff's Complaint was electronically filed with the Clerk of Court using the Court's CF/ECF filing system and sent via U.S. mail, postage pre-paid, to all interested parties of record identified below.

Denise Thurmond 211 Owen St. Hamilton, OH 45011

Plaintiff

/s/ Ronald G. Linville

Ronald G. Linville

Attorney for Defendant Ford Motor Company